

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/706,645	11/06/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	13808(YOR920000454US1) 8227	
75	90 11/01/2004		EXAMI	NER
Richard L Catania Scully Scott Murphy & Presser			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
400 Garden City Garden City, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
,			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<b>;</b>	09/706,645	KANEVSKY ET AL.	8				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/4	/2004.	•					
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	<del>_</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12-16 and 18</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	,	(070.440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/706,645 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

The Request filed on 10/4/2004 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114
 based on parent Application No. 09/706,645 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

## Response to Amendment

2. Claims 5, 11, and 17 have been cancelled; therefore, Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18 are currently pending in application 09/706,645.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewster et al. (US 5,960,337) in view of Lemelson et al. (US 6,028,514), and further in view of Puram et al. (US 6,289,340).
- 5. As per independent Claims 1, 7, and 13, Brewster discloses a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people, comprising the steps: (a) organizing a

Application/Control Number: 09/706,645

Art Unit: 3629

network of people/volunteers (service provider) for helping people, each of the people/volunteers (EAS responder) having a portable, wireless communications device (abstract, C5 L25-30, C5 L51-62); (b) establishing a second database identifying a plurality of volunteers, and for each of the volunteers, identifying at least one specific emergency (disability) said volunteer is willing to assist (abstract, C7 L5-14); (c) after the second database is established at least one person, using one of the wireless communications devices (mobile phone) to transmit a request for help (abstract); and said at least one of the volunteers receiving the request for help via one of the wireless communications devices (abstract, C4 L38-67, C5 L25-30, C5 L51-62, C7 L1-14, C8 L18-41, Claims 15-18).

Page 3

- 6. Brewster fails to expressly disclose people with "disabilities" (participating subscriber) nor establishing a first database identifying and having information about a plurality of people with disabilities, identifying at least one specific disability said person has.
- 7. However, Lemelson does disclose a system for helping people with medical problems (disabilities) and establishing a database having information about the people with disabilities, said information identifying for each of the persons with disabilities, at least one specific disability said person has (C7 L40-67, C8 L1-16).
- 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include people with "disabilities" (participating subscriber) and establishing a first database identifying and having information about a plurality of people with disabilities, identifying at least one specific disability said person has, as disclosed by Lemelson, in the system disclosed by Brewster for the advantage of offering

Application/Control Number: 09/706,645

Art Unit: 3629

a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people with disabilities, with the ability to provide more direct and accurate care to the injured or disabled person, by matching them to a caretaker (volunteer) with the information and skills necessary to most efficiently and effectively help the person in need (Lemelson: C4 L29-49).

Page 4

- 9. Brewster and Lemelson fail to disclose using a matching server to use information from the pre-established first and second databases to match the person making the request with at least one of the volunteers
- 10. However, Brewster disclosed forming a database (second database) of emergency personnel/volunteers (EAS responders) and Lemelson discloses forming a database (first database) of people with medical problems, and both Brewster and Lemelson disclose maintaining the databases at an emergency control center which coordinates appropriate assistance to problems (Lemelson: (C4 L46-49), Brewster: Claim 1).
- 11. Furthermore, Puram discloses using a matching server to use information from the preestablished first and second databases to match the person making the request (employer) with at least one of the volunteers (job candidate) (Abstract, C3 L17-39).
- 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included using a matching server to use information from the pre-established first and second databases to match the person making the request (employer) with at least one of the volunteers (job candidate), as disclosed by Puram in the system disclosed by Brewster, in the system disclosed by Brewster, for the advantage of providing a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people with

- disabilities, with the ability to increase system effectiveness/efficiency, by allowing the user to integrate and manage information from two separate profile database types.
- 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the references of Brewster and Lemelson to form one system wherein an emergency operator could match entries from a first (medical information database) and second database (respondent qualifications database) in order to send appropriate personnel to people in need.
- 14. As per Claims 2, 8, and 14, Brewster, Lemelson, and Puram disclose wherein step (c) includes the step of one of the persons with disabilities using one of the wireless communications devices to transmit a request for help to the network; and step (d) includes the step of the network identifying said one of the persons with disabilities to said one of the volunteers via one of the wireless communications devices.
- 15. As per Claim 3, 9, and 15, Brewster, Lemelson, and Puram do not expressly show the volunteers providing at least one service selected from the group comprising: i) reading a newspaper or other information to a blind person, ii) translating a conversation into sign language, and iii) bringing requested items to one of the persons with disabilities.
- 16. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The method of providing help to people with disabilities would be performed regardless of the type of service/help provided.

  Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Application/Control Number: 09/706,645 Page 6

Art Unit: 3629

17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the person with a disability a service comprising one of the following: i) reading a newspaper or other information to a blind person, ii) translating a conversation into sign language, and iii) bringing requested items to one of the persons with disabilities, because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the service does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

- 18. As per Claims 4, 10, and 16, Brewster, Lemelson, and Puram disclose using a global positioning system to identify the location of said one of the persons with disabilities, and to identify one or more of the volunteers in the vicinity of said one of the persons with disabilities.
- 19. As per Claims 6, 12, and 18, Brewster, Lemelson, and Puram disclose wherein the matching step includes the step of: using a matching server to search the people with disabilities and the volunteers to find a list of candidate volunteers and methods of help; sending a request to each of the candidate volunteers; interacting with the candidate volunteers to find a final choice volunteer; and sending a final request to the final choice volunteer.

### Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/04, regarding Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 7

### Conclusion

- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am -5:00pm.
- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.
- 23. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

October 18, 2004

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500** 

meen